

World Journal of *Orthopedics*

World J Orthop 2011 September 18; 2(9): 85-92





Editorial Board

2010-2014

The *World Journal of Orthopedics* Editorial Board consists of 245 members, representing a team of worldwide experts in orthopedics. They are from 30 countries, including Argentina (1), Australia (14), Austria (2), Belgium (1), Brazil (3), Canada (5), China (18), Croatia (2), Denmark (1), Egypt (2), Finland (2), France (2), Germany (10), Greece (5), Hungary (1), India (9), Iran (2), Ireland (1), Israel (5), Italy (19), Japan (14), Morocco (1), Netherlands (10), Norway (2), Portugal (1), Serbia (3), Singapore (3), South Korea (12), Spain (4), Sri Lanka (1), Sweden (3), Switzerland (6), Tunisia (3), Turkey (1), Tunisia (1), United Kingdom (6), and United States (69).

PRESIDENT AND EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Lian-Sheng Ma, *Beijing*

STRATEGY ASSOCIATE EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Jenni M Buckley, *San Francisco*
Vijay K Goel, *Toledo*
James F Griffith, *Hong Kong*
Thomas W Kaminski, *Newark*
Enrico Pola, *Rome*
Masato Takao, *Tokyo*

GUEST EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

Chih-Hwa Chen, *Keelung*
Ruei-Ming Chen, *Taipei*
Yen-Jen Chen, *Taichung*
Jiu-Jenq Lin, *Taiwan*
Ko-Hsiu Lu, *Taichung*
Chen Yuk-Kwan, *Kaohsiung*
Fu-Chan Wei, *Taiwan*
Ta-Sen Wei, *Taiwan*

MEMBERS OF THE EDITORIAL BOARD



Argentina

Martin Alejandro Buttaró, *Aires*



Australia

Gerald J Atkins, *Adelaide*
Gregory Ian Bain, *Adelaide*
Belinda R Beck, *Queensland*

Adam Leigh Bryant, *Victoria*
Darren John Beales, *Western Australia*
Changhai Ding, *Hobart*
Herwig Drobetz, *Mackay*
Melanie Franklyn, *Victoria*
Konstantin I Momot, *Queensland*
George Samuel Murley, *Victoria*
Michal Elisabeth Schneider-Kolsky, *Victoria*
Gordon L Slater, *Albury*
Mark Watsford, *Sydney*
Cory J Xian, *Adelaide*



Austria

Florian Kutscha-Lissberg, *Vienna*
Klemens Trieb, *Wels*



Belgium

Olivier Bruyere, *Liege*



Brazil

Francisco Bandeira Farias, *Recife*
Djalma José Fagundes, *São Paulo*
Eduardo Magalhães, *São Paulo*



Canada

Richard E Buckley, *Calgary*
Reggie Charles Hamdy, *Montreal*
Michael Anthony Hunt, *Vancouver*
Richard Kremer, *Montreal*
Fackson Mwale, *Montreal*



China

Yu-Ming Chen, *Guangzhou*
Hong-Bin Fan, *Xi'an*
Daniel YT Fong, *Hong Kong*
Li-Xin Guo, *Shenyang*
Xiong Guo, *Xi'an*
Xia Guo, *Hong Kong*
Lui-Tun Hing, *Hong Kong*
Kai-Fu Huo, *Wuhan*
Yong Hu, *Hong Kong*
Xiang-Hang Luo, *Changsha*
Marco YC Pang, *Hong Kong*
Ming Zhang, *Hong Kong*
Tak Chuen Wong, *Hong Kong*
Ricky WK Wong, *Hong Kong*



Croatia

Tomislav Smoljanovic, *Zagreb*
Robert Kolundzic, *Zagreb*



Denmark

Morten Tange Kristensen, *Copenhagen*



Egypt

Wael M.T. Koptan, *Cairo*
Elsayed Ibraheem Elsayed Massoud, *Tahta*



Finland

Timo Järvelä, *Tampere*

Yrjö T Konttinen, *Helsinki*



France

Federico Canavese, *Clermont-Ferrand*
Yiou Eric, *Orsay Cedex*



Germany

Annegret Mündermann, *Radolfzell*
Beat Knechtle, *Gallen*
Heinz LOHRER, *Frankfurt Am Main*
Olaf Lorbach, *Homburg*
Stefan Grote, *Munich*
Karsten Knobloch, *Hannover*
Philipp Kobbe, *Aachen*
Volker Schöffl, *Bamberg*
Arndt P Schulz, *Lübeck*
Lars V Baron von Engelhardt, *Bochum*



Greece

George C Babis, *Attiki*
Marios Georgios Lykissas, *Ioannina*
Lazaros I Sakkas, *Larissa*
Nikolaos G Papadimitriou, *Thessaloniki*
Konstantinos N Malizos, *Larissa*



Hungary

Andor Sebestyén, *Pécs*



India

Antony Gomes, *Calcutta*
Kunal Sharan, *Lucknow*
Divya Vohora, *New Delhi*
Devdatta Suhas Neogi, *Mumbai*
Mohamed Shafi, *Tamil Nadu State*
Pankaj Kumar, *Andhra Pradesh*
Pramod V Lokhande, *Pune*
Vidyadhara Srinivasa, *Karnataka*
Vaibhav Bagaria, *Ghaziabad*



Iran

Hossein Negahban, *Ahvaz*
Sayed Javad Mousavi, *Tehran*



Ireland

Joseph S Butler, *Dublin*



Israel

Alexander Blankstein, *Ramat Hasharon*
Dror Lakstein, *Haifa*

Itzhak Binderman, *Tel Aviv*
Nahum Rosenberg, *Haifa*
Youssef Maher Masharawi, *Tel Aviv*



Italy

Alessandro Geraci, *Feltre*
Angelo Cacchio, *L'Aquila*
Costantino Errani, *Bologna*
Claudia Mazzà, *Roma*
Donatella Lippi, *Florence*
Giuseppe M Campo, *Messina*
Giuseppe Banfi, *Milano*
Patrizia D'Amelio, *Torino*
Marco Crostelli, *Rome*
Marcello Maggio, *Parma*
Marco Giuseppe Angelo Teli, *Bergamo*
Monica Mattioli-Belmonte, *Ancona*
Marco Monticone, *Lissone*
Pasquale De Negri, *Rionero in Vulture*
Andrea Giusti, *Genova*
Alberto Gobbi, *Milan*
Raoul Saggini, *Chieti*
Saverio Affatato, *Bologna*
Tomaso Villa, *Milano*



Japan

Akio Sakamoto, *Fukuoka*
Jun Iwamoto, *Tokyo*
Kanji Mori, *Otsu*
Makoto Makishima, *Tokyo*
Ryuichi Morishita, *Suita*
Shuichi Kaneyama, *Kobe*
Tadahiko Yotsumoto, *Osaka*
Toru Yamaguchi, *Izumo-shi*
Toshimasa Uemura, *Ibaraki*
Hideki Nagashima, *Yonago*
Hisataka Yasuda, *Nagahama*
Yasuharu Nagano, *Saitama*
Yoichi Aota, *Yokohama*
Yuichi Kasai, *Tsu city*



Morocco

Abdellah El Maghraoui, *Rabat*



Netherlands

Claudine JC Lamothe, *Groningen*
Barend J van Royen, *Amsterdam*
Doug King, *Lower Hutt*
Paul C Jutte, *Groningen*
PE Huijsmans, *Hague*
PM van der Kraan, *Nijmegen*
Michel van den Bekerom, *Amsterdam*
JJ Verlaan, *Utrecht*
Rob GHH Nelissen, *Leiden*
Taco Gosens, *Tilburg*



Norway

Jan Oxholm Gordeladze, *Oslo*
Gunnar Knutsen, *Tromsø*



Portugal

João F Mano, *Guimarães*



Serbia

Radica Dunjic, *Belgrade*
Miroslav Z Milankov, *Novi Sad*
Zoran Vukasinovic, *Belgrade*



Singapore

Anselm Mak, *Singapore*
Dongan Wang, *Singapore*
V Prem Kumar, *Singapore*



South Korea

Dae-Geun Jeon, *Seoul*
Seok Woo Kim, *Gyeonggi*
Sang-Hun Ko, *Ulsan*
Sung-Uk Kuh, *Seoul*
Jaebeom Lee, *Miryang*
Yong Seuk Lee, *Suwon*
Hyun Woo Kim, *Seoul*
Jae Taek Hong, *Suwon*
Jong-Beom Park, *Kyunggi-do*
Kook Jin Chung, *Seoul*
Kyu Hyun Yang, *Seoul*
Sang Ki Lee, *Daejeon*



Spain

Antonio Herrera, *Zaragoza*
Daniel Hernandez Vaquero, *Aviles*
Francisco J Blanco, *A Coruña*
Nuria Vilaboa, *Madrid*



Sri Lanka

Janaka Lenora, *Galle*



Sweden

Jan G Jakobsson, *Stockholm*
Anna Nordström, *Umeå*



Switzerland

Achim Elfering, *Bern*
Benjamin Gantenbein, *Bern*

Nicola A Maffioletti, *Zurich*
Michael Hirschmann, *Basel*
Elyazid Mouhsine, *Lausanne*
Peter Fennema, *Baar*



Thailand

Boonsin Tangtrakulwanich, *Songkla*
Prachya Kongtawelert, *Chiang Mai*
Sittisak Honsawek, *Bangkok*



Tunisia

Lamia Rezgui-Marhouf, *Tunis*



Turkey

Akmer Mutlu, *Ankara*
Bulent Daglar, *Ankara*
Kemal NAS, *Diyarbakir*
Salih Özgöçmen, *Kayseri*
Serdar Kahraman, *Istanbul*



United Kingdom

Henry DE Atkinson, *London*
Vikas Khanduja, *Cambridge*
Ali Mobasheri, *Sutton Bonington*
Charles Anthony Willis-Owen, *London*
Vikki Wylde, *Bristol*
Tosan Okoro, *Bangor*



United States

Srino Bharam, *New York*
Craig R Bottoni, *Honolulu*
Lavjay Butani, *Sacramento*
Chaoyang Chen, *Detroit*
Ock K Chun, *Storrs*
Christopher J Colloca, *Chandler*
Nabanita S Datta, *Detroit*
Paul E Di Cesare, *Sacramento*
Matthew B Dobbs, *Saint Louis*
Evan F Fkman, *Columbia*
Joel J Gagnier, *Ann Arbor*
Federico P Girardi, *New York*
David L Helfet, *New York*
Johnny Huard, *Pittsburgh*
Stefan Judex, *Stony Brook*
Monroe Laborde, *New Orleans*
Bingyun Li, *Morgantown*
Subburaman Mohan, *Loma Linda*
Arash Momeni, *Palo Alto*
Nader D Nader, *Buffalo*
John Nyland, *Louisville*
Karin Gräware Silbernagel, *Newark*
David H Song, *Chicago*
Nelson F SooHoo, *Los Angeles*
SPA Stawicki, *Columbus*
Ann Marie Swank, *Louisville*
R Shane Tubbs, *Birmingham*
Victoria M Virador, *Bethesda*
Savio LY Woo, *Pittsburgh*
Masayoshi Yamaguchi, *Atlanta*
Feng-Chun Yang, *Indianapolis*
Subhashini Yaturu, *Albany*

Hiroki Yokota, *Troy*
Charalampos Zalavras, *Los Angeles*
Chunfeng Zhao, *Rochester*
Anil Bhawe, *Baltimore*
John Elias, *Akron*
Clark Dickin, *Muncie*
John S Early, *Dallas*
James S Harrop, *Philadelphia*
Adam H Hsieh, *Maryland*
Aditya V Maheshwari, *New York*
Zong-Ming Li, *Cleveland*
Richard M Lovering, *Baltimore*
Lyle Joseph Micheli, *Boston*
Ming Pei, *Morgantown*
Juan A Pretell – Mazzini, *Philadelphia*
David M Selkowitz, *Pomona*
Hassan Serhan, *Raynham*
Subhashini Yaturu, *Albany*
Sorin Siegler, *Pennsylvania*
Aaron David Sciascia, *Lexington*
Jeffrey C Wang, *Santa Monica*
David Andrew Spiegel, *Philadelphia*
Inna Belfer, *Pittsburgh*
Angie Botto-van Bemden, *Lauderdale*
Quan-Jun Cui, *Virginia*
Scott D Daffner, *Morgantown*
B Sonny Bal, *Columbia*
Beril Gok, *Baltimore*
Ashraf S Gorgey, *Richmond*
Kee D Kim, *Sacramento*
Brian Michael Haus, *Boston*
Dror Paley, *Pittsburgh*
Bing Wang, *Pittsburgh*
Wen-Bao Wang, *New York*
Li-Qun Zhang, *Chicago*
Nigel Zheng, *Charlotte*

**REVIEW**

- 85 Methods to shorten the duration of an external fixator in the management of tibial infections

Emara KM, Ghafar K, Al Kersh MA

Contents

World Journal of Orthopedics
Volume 2 Number 9 September 18, 2011

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS I Acknowledgments to reviewers of *World Journal of Orthopedics*

APPENDIX I Meetings
I-V Instructions to authors

ABOUT COVER Emara KM, Ghafar K, Al Kersh MA. Methods to shorten the duration of an external fixator in the management of tibial infections.
World J Orthop 2011; 2(9): 85-92
<http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/full/v2/i9/85.htm>

AIM AND SCOPE *World Journal of Orthopedics* (*World J Orthop*, *WJO*, online ISSN 2218-5836, DOI: 10.5312) is a monthly peer-reviewed, online, open-access, journal supported by an editorial board consisting of 245 experts in orthopedics from 30 countries.
The aim of *WJO* is to report rapidly new theories, methods and techniques for prevention, diagnosis, treatment, rehabilitation and nursing in the field of orthopedics. *WJO* covers diagnostic imaging, arthroscopy, evidence-based medicine, epidemiology, nursing, sports medicine, therapy of bone and spinal diseases, bone trauma, osteoarthropathy, bone tumors and osteoporosis, minimally invasive therapy, traditional medicine, and integrated Chinese and Western medicine. The journal also publishes original articles and reviews that report the results of applied and basic research in fields related to orthopedics, such as immunology, physiopathology, cell biology, pharmacology, medical genetics, and pharmacology of Chinese herbs.

FLYLEAF I-II Editorial Board

EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Responsible Assistant Editor: *Xiao-Cui Yang*
Responsible Electronic Editor: *Xiao-Cui Yang*
Proofing Editor-in-Chief: *Lian-Sheng Ma*

Responsible Science Editor: *Xing Wu*
Proofing Editorial Office Director: *Xiao-Cui Yang*

NAME OF JOURNAL
World Journal of Orthopedics

LAUNCH DATE
November 18, 2010

SPONSOR
Beijing Baishideng BioMed Scientific Co., Ltd.
Room 903, Building D, Ocean International Center,
No. 62 Dongsihuan Zhonglu, Chaoyang District,
Beijing 100025, China
Telephone: +86-10-8538-1892
Fax: +86-10-8538-1893
E-mail: baishideng@wjgnet.com
<http://www.wjgnet.com>

EDITING
Editorial Board of *World Journal of Orthopedics*
Room 903, Building D, Ocean International Center,
No. 62 Dongsihuan Zhonglu, Chaoyang District,
Beijing 100025, China
Telephone: +86-10-8538-0036
Fax: +86-10-8538-1893
E-mail: wjo@wjgnet.com
<http://www.wjgnet.com>

PUBLISHING
Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited
Room 1701, 17/F, Henan Building,
No.90 Jaffe Road, Wanchai, Hong Kong, China
Fax: +852-3115-8812

Telephone: +852-5804-2046
E-mail: baishideng@wjgnet.com
<http://www.wjgnet.com>

SUBSCRIPTION
Beijing Baishideng BioMed Scientific Co., Ltd.
Room 903, Building D, Ocean International Center,
No. 62 Dongsihuan Zhonglu, Chaoyang District,
Beijing 100025, China
Telephone: +86-10-8538-1892
Fax: +86-10-8538-1893
E-mail: baishideng@wjgnet.com
<http://www.wjgnet.com>

PUBLICATION DATE
September 18, 2011

ISSN
ISSN 2218-5836 (online)

PRESIDENT AND EDITOR-IN-CHIEF
Lian-Sheng Ma, Beijing

STRATEGY ASSOCIATE EDITORS-IN-CHIEF
Enrico Pola, Rome
Masato Takao, Tokyo
James F Griffith, Hong Kong
Thomas W Kaminski, Newark
Jenni M Buckley, San Francisco
Vijay K Goel, Toledo

EDITORIAL OFFICE
Xiao-Cui Yang, Assistant Director
World Journal of Orthopedics
Room 903, Building D, Ocean International Center,
No. 62 Dongsihuan Zhonglu, Chaoyang District,
Beijing 100025, China
Telephone: +86-10-8538-1892
Fax: +86-10-8538-1893
E-mail: wjo@wjgnet.com
<http://www.wjgnet.com>

COPYRIGHT
© 2011 Baishideng. Articles published by this Open-Access journal are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial License, which permits use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non commercial and is otherwise in compliance with the license.

SPECIAL STATEMENT
All articles published in this journal represent the viewpoints of the authors except where indicated otherwise.

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
Full instructions are available online at http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/g_info_20100722172650.htm.

ONLINE SUBMISSION
<http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836office>

Methods to shorten the duration of an external fixator in the management of tibial infections

Khaled M Emara, Khaled Abd Al Ghafar, Mohamed Ahmed Al Kersh

Khaled M Emara, Orthopaedic Surgery, Ain Shams University Hospitals, Cairo 0020, Egypt

Khaled Abd Al Ghafar, Lecturer in Orthopaedic Surgery, Ain Shams University, Cairo 0020, Egypt

Mohamed Ahmed Al Kersh, Assistant Lecturer of Orthopaedic Surgery, Ain Shams University, Cairo 0020, Egypt

Author contributions: Emara KM designed and wrote up the research; Ghafar K collected the data; Al Kersh MA wrote up the research.

Correspondence to: Khaled M Emara, Professor, Orthopaedic Surgery, Ain Shams University Hospitals, 13 B Kornish el Nile, Agha Khan, Cairo 0020, Egypt. kmemara@hotmail.com

Telephone: +20-2-22055661 Fax: +20-2-22055662

Received: July 1, 2011 Revised: August 25, 2011

Accepted: August 31, 2011

Published online: September 18, 2011

Abstract

Massive segmental bone loss due to chronic osteomyelitis represents a considerable challenge to orthopedic surgeons and is a limb threatening condition. The only option available in such a clinical situation is segment transport using the Ilizarov technique of distraction osteogenesis; yet the most common problem in cases of bone transport with the Ilizarov technique in massive bone loss, is the long duration of the fixator. In addition to autologous bone grafting, several mechanical, biologic, and external physical treatment modalities may be employed to promote bone formation and maturation during segment transport in osteomyelitis patients. Mechanical approaches include compressive loading of the distraction regenerate, increased frequency of small increments of distraction, and compression-distraction. Intramedullary nailing and hemicorticotomy can reduce the time in external fixation; however, these techniques are associated with technical difficulties and complications. Exogenous application of low-intensity pulsed ultrasound or pulsed electromagnetic fields may shorten the duration of external fixation. Other promising mo-

dalities include diphosphonates, physician-directed use (off-label use) of bone morphogenetic proteins, and local injection of bone marrow aspirate and platelet gel at the osteotomy site. Well-designed clinical studies are needed to establish safe and effective guidelines for various modalities to enhance new bone formation during distraction osteogenesis after segment transfer.

© 2011 Baishideng. All rights reserved.

Key words: Ilizarov; Bone infection; Intramedullary nail; Hemicorticotomy; Tibiofibular synostosis; Fibular transport

Peer reviewers: Ashraf S Gorgey, Assistant Professor of Virginia Commonwealth University, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, 1201 Broad Rock Blvd, Richmond, VA 23249, United States; Mohamed Kenawey, Dr., Sohag Faculty of Medicine, Orthopaedic Surgery, Sohag 82524, Egypt

Emara KM, Ghafar K, Al Kersh MA. Methods to shorten the duration of an external fixator in the management of tibial infections. *World J Orthop* 2011; 2(9): 85-92 Available from: URL: <http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/full/v2/i9/85.htm> DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5312/wjo.v2.i9.85>

INTRODUCTION

Musculoskeletal infections remain a common problem. The treatment strategy for chronic osteomyelitis has changed to a great extent over the past twenty years^[1] because of better staging systems, developments in surgical techniques, antibiotics and adjuvant treatment modalities such as hyperbaric oxygen. Chronic osteomyelitis leads to necrosis of bone and soft tissues to a variable extent. The dead bone forms a nidus for hosting pathogens. Moreover, host defense mechanisms are often not in an optimal condition to deal with microorganisms, and antibiotic delivery to the infection site may be impaired be-

cause of poor circulation^[2]. Cierny *et al.*^[3] classified chronic osteomyelitis into four anatomical types, a system that is known as the Cierny-Mader classification, and further staged the pathology according to the extent of the local and systemic compromise in the patient. They developed guidelines for management according to this system.

Appropriate radical debridement requires excision of all necrotic bone and soft tissue, often resulting in limb instability. The unstable situation requires some type of fixation and reconstruction of the resultant bone and soft-tissue defects. Since the introduction of distraction osteogenesis by Ilizarov, the technique has been employed successfully to achieve union, correct deformity, reestablish limb-length equality, and reconstruct segmental defects^[4]. The time spent in an external fixator (the external fixation index) depends on the length of distraction required and is not free of complications. When the distraction phase is over, the consolidation phase, which is more than double the distraction time, becomes difficult for the patient to tolerate. It is associated with many complications such as pin-track infection, angulation; post operative scarring, loosening of the frame, malalignment and stiffness of the knee and ankle joints. Removal of the external fixator before satisfactory consolidation has occurred is associated with fracture, deformity, and shortening occurring through the distracted callus^[5]. To shorten the duration of an Ilizarov external fixator in management of tibial bone loss, there are different modalities to enhance bone regeneration during the distraction osteogenesis. These modalities include mechanical stimulation of the regenerate, new surgical techniques, exogenous application of low-intensity pulsed ultrasound (LIPU) or pulsed electromagnetic fields (PEMFs), local injection of bone morphogenetic protein (BMP), pluripotent stem cells, and platelet-rich plasma (PRP). Anticatabolic agents, such as diphosphonates, may also be used.

BIOLOGY OF DISTRACTION OSTEOGENESIS

Three phases of distraction osteogenesis

Distraction osteogenesis (DO) consists of three sequential phases that can be controlled by the surgeon. Latency phase is that period immediately following the osteotomy and application of the distractor; it ranges from one to seven days. After the latency phase is the distraction phase. During this phase, the distraction device is activated by turning some type of axial screw, usually at 1 mm/d in four equal increments of 0.25 mm each, to form the regenerate. Once distraction is complete, the third and final phase is the consolidation phase. Typically, the consolidation phase, in which the regenerate will consolidate to form a solid bone, is twice as long as the time required for distraction. The regeneration of new bone formation is increased with low-energy osteotomy or corticotomy, preferably during the latency phase, and is dependent on patient age as well as the quality of the underlying bone

and surrounding soft-tissue envelope. Duration of three to ten days is recommended following osteotomy with apposed bone ends^[6]. The local inflammatory response, which consists of migration of pluripotential cells with a rich milieu of cytokines and growth factors, facilitates new bone formation during the distraction phase. In this phase, the osteotomized fragments are gradually pulled apart, typically at a rate of 1 mm/d in two to four evenly spaced time increments. This specific rate and frequency of distraction is crucial for reliable generation of new bone in the distraction gap and for adaptive changes and growth in the surrounding soft tissues, including skin, muscles, nerves, blood vessels and the lymphatic system. In the consolidation phase, the regenerate in the distraction gap matures into normal bone that can withstand physiologic loads and remodels over time. In general, the consolidation phase is twice as long as the distraction phase.

The external fixation index denotes the number of days the external fixator is attached to the bone per centimeter of length gained. Using conventional Ilizarov fixation, this index is typically thirty days per centimeter of length gained; however, the rate differs based on variables such as patient age, osteotomy site and amount of lengthening^[7,20].

The bone healing index is the time to bony union in months, divided by the amount of lengthening in centimeters. Attempts to accelerate the distraction phase can negatively affect the quality of bone formation, as well as limb function, secondary to the effect of acceleration on neurovascular and musculotendinous structures.

However, several modalities have been used to shorten the consolidation phase of distraction osteogenesis thereby improving these indices.

Histology

The regenerate that fills the distraction gap is formed *via* intramembranous ossification. In the distraction phase, the regenerate between the two osteotomized fragments has a distinct histologic appearance, with five recognizable zones. The central fibrous inter-zone measures 4 to 8 mm in length, with immature collagen bundles and fibroblast-like cells arranged parallel to the distraction force. The fibrous inter-zone is bordered on either side by the primary mineralization front, in which clusters of osteoblast-like cells produce an osteoid-like matrix that consolidates the collagen into longitudinal microcolumns surrounded by capillary buds. The zone of microcolumn formation rests between the native bone of the osteotomized fragment and the primary mineralization front. The primary bone units begin to mineralize in this zone, expanding to a maximum diameter of 150 to 200 μm ^[6]. The primary bone units cross-link with other units that are surrounded by vascular sinusoids and span the cross-section of the distraction gap.

During the consolidation phase, the primary mineralization front traverses the fibrous inter-zone, followed

by the zone of microcolumn formation. In this way, the distraction gap is replaced by mature bone that remodels with formation of the medullary canal and distinct cortices, in accordance with Wolff's law. Endochondral bone formation has been noted in experimental models of DO, in which new bone formation is delayed secondary to poor blood supply or lack of stable external fixation^[6,20].

RADIOGRAPHIC ASSESSMENT OF BONE FORMATION

A valid and reliable means of assessing the quality of new bone formation during DO is essential. Imaging techniques such as ultrasonography^[8] and quantitative bone scintigraphy^[9] have been investigated. However, plain radiography is used mainly in assessing the quality and quantity of distraction regenerate.

Pattern recognition of the radiographic appearance of new bone formation has typically been used to make clinical decisions regarding the rate of distraction, advancement of weight-bearing status and scheduling the removal of external fixation. Poor healing is suggested by delayed radiographic appearance of bone formation (i.e. > 30 d postosteotomy), multiple radiolucencies in the distraction regenerate, axial deviation of the regenerate, which is suggestive of suboptimal mechanical stability; and hourglass configuration of the regenerate^[10,11]. Attempts have been made based on plain radiographic appearance to classify the shape, consistency and polarity of bone regenerate during limb lengthening. Surgeon's experience with limb lengthening and use of digital image enhancement techniques can improve the reliability and accuracy of radiographic assessment of bone formation during DO^[12,20].

RISK FACTORS FOR POOR BONE FORMATION

Poor bone formation with prolonged external fixation and bone healing indices can take a physical, emotional, and financial toll on patients. A weak regenerate may not withstand physiologic loads and is prone to deformation and fracture following removal of the external fixator^[13]. Several factors can affect the volume and quality of bone formation negatively during distraction osteogenesis, including advanced age and a diaphyseal lengthening site. Adequate blood supply and mechanical stability are crucial to the formation of a healthy regenerate. Two clinical studies indicated fracture rates of 8.1% and 9.4% in adult and pediatric patients respectively who underwent limb lengthening with external fixation for a variety of diagnoses^[14].

Predisposition to poor bone formation can be attributed to host-related factors as well as local and iatrogenic causes. Host-related factors include use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, smoking, congenital etiology,

and systemic illness (e.g. diabetes).

Local predisposing factors include a scarred soft-tissue envelope, overlying infection and prior radiation therapy; as well as deformities such as congenital pseudarthrosis of the tibia. Iatrogenic causes include compromised soft-tissue coverage at the osteotomy site, resulting from poor location selection; suboptimal osteotomy technique (e.g. thermal necrosis resulting from use of an oscillating saw); a persistent gap > 1 cm at the osteotomy site during the latency phase; application of a mechanically unstable frame configuration; a short latency phase (< 5 d); and rapid distraction (> 2 mm/d). A recent study of patients undergoing tibial lengthening noted the biologic superiority of Gigli saw osteotomy, especially in the presence of osteopenia^[15,20].

MODALITIES FOR ENHANCING BONE FORMATION

There are different methods for improving the quality of regenerate during the consolidation phase; and removing the external fixators after the end of distraction phase during segment transfer.

Mechanical modulation

Controlled, repetitive axial loading of healing fractures can stimulate callus formation and accelerate restoration of bone strength. Animal data exist to support the use of various means of modulating loading of the distraction regenerate (e.g. early weight bearing, temporary distraction, compression following osteotomy, dynamization following callus distraction). However, there are limited data regarding the effects of alteration of mechanical load on bone healing in humans^[16,41]. Increasing the frequency of distraction while decreasing the amount of lengthening at each interval, whether manually^[16], or with a motorized distractor, may improve bone formation and shorten the external fixation index. In a study of elderly patients with medial compartment osteoarthritis and hemicallositis of the proximal tibia, Mizuta *et al.*^[17,20] reported increased bone mineral density and a shorter period of external fixation in patients who underwent distraction at a rate of 0.125 mm eight times per day (total, 1 mm/d), compared with those who underwent distraction at the standard frequency of 0.25 mm four times per day.

Surgical techniques

Technical variations on the conventional Ilizarov method have been developed with the goal of reducing the duration of external fixation or eliminating its use. New techniques include: segment transport over an intramedullary (IM) nail; segment transport followed by nailing; hemi-corticotomy; tibiofibular synostosis; and fibular transport. These techniques are not without risk, and they may not be appropriate in patients with a history of local infection. Although these methods decrease the external fixation index, protect against refracture, and promote faster




Figure 1 Radiograph shows the hemicorticotomy tibia after surgery.



Figure 2 Radiograph shows the transfer of a hemicorticotomy segment.

rehabilitation, they have not been shown to improve the bone healing index consistently. Other disadvantages include: the possibility of IM infection; the potential for customized instrumentation; increased surgical time, and excessive blood loss; additional surgery for removal of deep hardware; added cost; and a steep learning curve.

Hemicorticotomy^[18]: After primary management which comprises debridement, excision of the sinus in the incision, and removal of the anterior half of the tibial cortex and any sequestrum, leaving the posterior cortex intact in its vascular muscle bed. Later, when the condition of the surgical wound allows, a partial corticotomy is performed of the anterior aspect of the tibia adjacent to the area from which bone has been removed. The hemicorticotomy starts with a skin incision allowing a postero-medial approach to the tibia. The incision is deepened to expose the anteromedial surface of the tibia. The tibial periosteum is incised 1 cm anterior to the posterior tibial cortex, and periosteal stripping is avoided, multiple drill holes in an L-shaped configuration are then made, connected using an osteotome to separate the anterior half of the tibia from the posterior half (Figure 1), the hemicorticotomy is secured by using half pins, wires or both (Figure 2), and then gradual segment transfer is made to fill the defect^[18].

Hemicorticotomy is indicated in chronic osteomy-



Figure 3 Radiograph shows after finishing segment transfer and removal of external fixation.

elitis involving the anterior tibial cortex with intact and healthy posterior cortex, and contraindicated in diffuse osteomyelitis involving both anterior and posterior tibial cortices.

In our study of twenty patients with chronic osteomyelitis of the tibia hemicorticotomy and transfer by Ilizarov was performed. Of these patients 19 had complete healing of infection and the discharging sinus. Radiography confirmed consolidation of the new bone. The external fixator remained in place in 11 patients until full maturation of the new bone (average duration was 38 wk). Nine patients asked for removal of the frame immediately after segment transfer had been completed followed by a below knee cast for average period of 18 wk (Figure 3).

The technique did not work in one patient, whose sinus continued to discharge for 8 wk after surgery. As this was thought due to persistent infection related to insufficient removal of infected dead bone, segment transfer was discontinued and the transferred segment was returned to its original site. This was followed by another operation with complete excision of the segment with the suspected infection and classic segment transfer.

Ilizarov external fixation and then nailing: The plan consists of: segment transfer to reconstruct the defect resulting from the radical debridement; achievement of limb length equality, and deformity correction; use of Ilizarov external fixator frame; corticotomy of the tibia is performed in relatively normal bone; postoperative intravenous antibiotics, specific for culture and sensitivity, are given for 6 wk.

Removal of the external fixator and intramedullary fixation is done at 4th wk after finishing segment transfer. The 4 wk gap between finishing segment transfer and removal of the external fixator is to: (1) give a chance for the irritated skin at the pin site of segment transfer to heal; and (2) the docking site being compressed for some time to avoid gapping and decrease risk of regenerate spring-back after removal of the external fixator.

During nailing, the guide wire for the nail is passed gently through the proximal tibia, the soft distraction cal-

lus and the transferred bony segment to the distal tibial segment, followed by insertion of the nail. The statically locked nail fixes both the corticotomy distraction and the docking site while maintaining the length.

Ilizarov fixator followed by intramedullary nailing is indicated in infected nonunion of the tibial shaft, and contraindicated in prior intramedullary tibial nail insertion (to decrease risk of infection recurring after nail insertion, because any hidden infected focus along the medullary canal away from the fracture site could be missed in the debridement), as well as pin track infection during segment transfer^[19].

In a study we had 33 patients^[19] with infected nonunion of the tibia managed with segment transfer using an Ilizarov fixator; in 17 patients the fixator was replaced by nailing after segment transfer was completed.

The average duration of infected nonunion was 12.6 mo, in the patients who continued in the fixator where the average duration of the fixator was 8.5 mo; in the patients with nailing, the average duration of the fixator was 3.1 mo.

In the 17 patients with nailing, 15 had complete healing at the docking site and the corticotomy site with no residual infection. In one patient the nail was broken at the docking site level after seven weeks; in another there was recurrence of infection over the intramedullary nail.

Segment transfer over an intramedullary nail: The technique described involves radical debridement, application of a polymethylmethacrylate spacer with a monoplane external fixator, followed by segment transfer using the Ilizarov technique over an intramedullary nail.

This method is indicated in Cierny-Mader Type IVA or IVB chronic osteomyelitis of the femoral and tibial metaphysis and diaphysis, and contraindicated in Cierny-Mader type IVC chronic osteomyelitis of the femoral and tibial metaphysis and diaphysis, Cierny-Mader Type I, II, or III chronic osteomyelitis of the femoral and tibial metaphysis and diaphysis^[3].

In this method there are pitfalls such as: failure to achieve radical debridement of all dead tissue until the observation of the so-called paprika sign (live cortical bone); failure to determine debridement levels, decision-making which is assisted by intravenous contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging of the whole long bone, which displays all necrotic tissues and skipped abscesses; failure to achieve good soft-tissue coverage of the debridement area which is managed by local or distant soft-tissue flaps; failure to include culture-specific, heat-stable antibiotics into the polymethylmethacrylate; failure to determine precisely the length and diameter of the intramedullary nail to be inserted and the level and number of custom locking holes which can be avoided by preoperative use of templates and standing orthoradiographs; failure to overream the medullary canal 1.5 mm larger than the diameter of the intramedullary nail to ensure easy gliding of bone segments over the nail; failure to ensure that the inserted Schanz screws or Kirschner wires are at least 1 mm away

from the nail and failure to place the external fixator parallel to the intramedullary nail in both the frontal and sagittal planes.

In a study done by Eralp *et al*^[21], this technique was used for 13 patients, the mean size of the defect was 7 cm, mean time of union at the docking site was 9 mo. Excellent results, in terms of both bone and functional assessment, were achieved for 11 patients, 2 patients had recurrence of infection which necessitated removal of the nail and revision with Ilizarov.

Fibular transport: The technique is a gradual ipsilateral fibular transport using a stable external fixator based on the Ilizarov principles. Proximal and distal fibular osteotomies are performed to allow medial translation of the central portion of the fibula. Olive wires with olives placed on the lateral aspect of the ipsilateral fibula are used to perform the medial fibular translation. This technique is indicated in patients with scarce bone regenerate obtained with the standard Ilizarov technique to replace the massive tibial loss as well as recurrence of osteomyelitis.

Catagni *et al*^[22] used this technique in one patient with massive tibial bone loss and previous failed segment transfer. The Ilizarov ring fixation time to achieve fibular transport and bone union was 11 mo, the patient underwent additional multiple bone debridement with insertion of antibiotic beads. Using fibular transport, full replacement of tibial bone loss was obtained.

Physical forces

Two distinct forms of physical forces have been used to enhance DO: LIPU and PEMFs^[23].

Low-intensity pulsed ultrasound: Low intensity pulsed ultrasound delivers high-frequency, low intensity pressure waves to the maturing distraction regenerate. An ultrasound transducer placed on the skin enhances endochondral ossification and callus formation, especially in acute fractures of the distal radius^[24] and tibial shaft^[25] managed with a cast. Most authors recommend Low intensity pulsed ultrasound over the healing fracture site for 20 min/d. The role of low intensity pulsed ultrasound in distraction osteogenesis is less well defined and is considered a physician-directed (off-label) application.

In a study of 20 young adults with segmental tibial defects who underwent distraction osteogenesis using external fixation, 10 underwent low intensity pulsed ultrasound applied to the distraction site during the consolidation phase^[20,26]. The mean healing index was 30 d per centimeter in the ultrasound group compared with 48 d per centimeter in patients treated with rigid fixation (range, 27 to 36 d/cm and 42 to 75 d/cm, respectively).

Pulsed electromagnetic field: Electromagnetic stimulation is a noninvasive modality that has long been used to enhance fracture healing; however, a recent meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials revealed incon-

sistent outcomes^[20,27].

A small double blind study of adolescent patients who underwent limb lengthening did not demonstrate a difference in the rate or amount of new bone formation in patients treated with an active pulsed electromagnetic field coil during distraction compared with control patients treated with an inactive coil^[28]. However, when dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry was used to measure bone density, patients treated with pulsed electromagnetic field were found to have less bone loss adjacent to the distraction gap.

Growth factors and stem cells

A variety of Bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) play an active role in osteogenesis, including recruitment of stem cells, cellular proliferation and differentiation, and bone formation. Recombinant BMP-2 and BMP-7 have been used primarily in adults as an autograft-substitute for single-level spine fusions and certain open tibial shaft fractures and nonunions.

Currently, limited information exists on the clinical use of BMPs for distraction osteogenesis in humans.

In 2008, Burkhart *et al.*^[29] reported a case study of a 19-year-old woman with insufficient bone regeneration following tibial transport over an intramedullary nail. The patient was successfully treated with exchange reamed nailing and intramedullary application of recombinant BMP-7 mixed with reamed debris at the site of the atrophic regenerate. However, several simultaneous interventions were used in this case; thus, the role of BMPs in distraction osteogenesis remains unclear and needs further study.

Platelet-rich plasma contains a variety of osteoinductive growth factors that may play a role in the proliferation and differentiation of osteoprogenitor cells and thereby enhances new bone formation during distraction osteogenesis^[30]. In a case series, three adolescent patients who underwent lower limb lengthening received an injection of culture-expanded bone marrow cells and platelet-rich plasma at the site of lengthening during the distraction and consolidation phases. The healing index was 23 days per centimeter, with no untoward effects. The same group of investigators applied a similar protocol in the treatment of a group of teenagers of short stature secondary to achondroplasia and hypochondroplasia who underwent lower limb lengthening^[31]. Eleven patients (24 bones) were treated with transplantation of culture-expanded bone marrow cells and platelet-rich plasma during distraction osteogenesis.

These patients had a significantly lower average healing index compared with nine patients (32 bones) in the control group (27.1 ± 6.89 d/cm *vs* 36.2 ± 10.4 d/cm, respectively, $P = 0.0005$). The osteogenic effect was more pronounced with femoral lengthening than with tibial lengthening.

Although the initial results of injection of culture-expanded bone marrow cells and platelet-rich plasma (PRP)

at the lengthening site seem encouraging, this treatment option requires additional visits to the operating room and special equipment, as well as additional training to harvest the stem cells. This technique must be studied in other patient populations, especially those with typically prolonged healing times, to evaluate whether these results can be duplicated^[20].

Anticatabolic and other agents

Diphosphonates are a family of anticatabolic agents that prevent bone resorption. They may be administered orally or systemically. These agents have been used in a physician-directed (off-label) capacity in patients with osteopenia to reduce the rate of bone turnover, thereby improving bone mineralization and density. In 2007, Kiely *et al.*^[20,32] reported that six of seven children treated with parenteral diphosphonates for poor quality of regenerate following DO eventually healed without further intervention. No side effects related to diphosphonates therapy were reported. Other anticatabolic agents (e.g. calcitonin) may have a role to play in enhancement of bone formation during Distraction Osteogenesis.

Several other agents, such as parathyroid hormone^[33], vitamin D analogs^[34], and hyperbaric oxygen^[35,36], have been studied in animal models of distraction osteogenesis with encouraging results. However, no human study of such therapies is available.

HEALING OF THE DOCKING SITE FOLLOWING BONE TRANSPORT

The docking site is prone to delayed healing in distraction osteogenesis following gradual transport of a bony fragment in patients with a skeletal defect. Satisfactory outcomes without the need for supplemental management of segmental defects of the tibia following sequestrectomy and bone transport have been reported in children with chronic hematogenous osteomyelitis. However, the potential exists for impaired bony union. Several options are available to minimize external fixation and enhance union at the docking site including: autologous bone graft; shingling or reshaping of the bony edges^[37]; bifocal transport^[38]; transport over an intramedullary nail; secondary intramedullary nailing^[39]; and application of low intensity pulsed ultrasound to the docking site^[40]. Acute shortening following segmental resection with lengthening using distraction osteogenesis at a distant site in the same bone is an effective alternative to the classic bone transport technique in some patients with moderate-sized skeletal defects^[41].

CONCLUSION

Distraction osteogenesis is a clinically applicable method of new bone formation based on sound biologic and mechanical principles. Appropriate patient selection, surgical technique, and rate and frequency of distraction are im-


portant prerequisites for the timely formation of a robust distraction regenerate. Several methods can improve the rate and quality of bone formation while decreasing the external fixation index during distraction osteogenesis. These modalities include: mechanical stimulation; innovative surgical techniques that require a variety of intramedullary nails; exogenous application of low intensity pulsed ultrasonography or electromagnetic fields; and anticatabolic agents (e.g., diphosphonates, local injection of certain BMPs, pluripotent stem cells mixed with platelet-rich plasma). Few clinical studies exist supporting the use of such options.

REFERENCES

- 1 **Cierny G.** Infected tibial nonunions (1981-1995). The evolution of change. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1999; (360): 97-105
- 2 **Simpson AH, Deakin M, Latham JM.** Chronic osteomyelitis. The effect of the extent of surgical resection on infection-free survival. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2001; **83**: 403-407
- 3 **Cierny G, Mader JT, Penninck JJ.** A clinical staging system for adult osteomyelitis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2003; (414): 7-24
- 4 **Ilizarov GA.** Clinical application of the tension-stress effect for limb lengthening. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1990; (250): 8-26
- 5 **Paley D.** Problems, obstacles, and complications of limb lengthening by the Ilizarov technique. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1990; (250): 81-104
- 6 **Fischgrund J, Paley D, Suter C.** Variables affecting time to bone healing during limb lengthening. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1994; (301): 31-37
- 7 **Aronson J.** Limb-lengthening, skeletal reconstruction, and bone transport with the Ilizarov method. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1997; **79**: 1243-1258
- 8 **Hughes TH, Maffulli N, Green V, Fixsen JA.** Imaging in bone lengthening. A review. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1994; (308): 50-53
- 9 **Eski M, Ilgan S, Cil Y, Sengezer M, Ozcan A, Yapici K.** Assessment of distraction regenerate using quantitative bone scintigraphy. *Ann Plast Surg* 2007; **58**: 328-334
- 10 **Catagni M.** Imaging techniques: The radiographic classification of bone regenerate during distraction. In: Maiocchi AB, Aronson J, editors. *Operative principles of ilizarov*. London: Williams and Wilkins, 1991: 53-57
- 11 **Forriol F, Iglesias A, Arias M, Aquerreta D, Cañadell J.** Relationship between radiologic morphology of the bone lengthening formation and its complications. *J Pediatr Orthop B* 1999; **8**: 292-298
- 12 **Donnan LT, Saleh M, Rigby AS, McAndrew A.** Radiographic assessment of bone formation in tibia during distraction osteogenesis. *J Pediatr Orthop* 2002; **22**: 645-651
- 13 **Simpson AH, Kenwright J.** Fracture after distraction osteogenesis. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2000; **82**: 659-665
- 14 **O'Carrigan T, Nocente C, Paley D, Herzenberg JE.** Fractures complicating limb lengthening. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2005; **87-B**: 312
- 15 **Eralp L, Kocaoglu M, Ozkan K, Türker M.** A comparison of two osteotomy techniques for tibial lengthening. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg* 2004; **124**: 298-300
- 16 **Ilizarov GA.** The tension-stress effect on the genesis and growth of tissues: Part II. The influence of the rate and frequency of distraction. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1989; (239): 263-285
- 17 **Mizuta H, Nakamura E, Kudo S, Maeda T, Takagi K.** Greater frequency of distraction accelerates bone formation in open-wedge proximal tibial osteotomy with hemicallotasis. *Acta Orthop Scand* 2004; **75**: 588-593
- 18 **Emara KM.** Hemi-corticotomy in the management of chronic osteomyelitis of the tibia. *Int Orthop* 2002; **26**: 310-313
- 19 **Emara KM, Allam MF.** Ilizarov external fixation and then nailing in management of infected nonunions of the tibial shaft. *J Trauma* 2008; **65**: 685-691
- 20 **Sabharwal S.** Enhancement of bone formation during distraction osteogenesis: pediatric applications. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg* 2011; **19**: 101-111
- 21 **Eralp L, Kocaoglu M, Rashid H.** Reconstruction of segmental bone defects due to chronic osteomyelitis with use of an external fixator and an intramedullary nail. Surgical technique. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2007; **89** Suppl 2 Pt.2: 183-195
- 22 **Catagni MA, Camagni M, Combi A, Ottaviani G.** Medial fibula transport with the Ilizarov frame to treat massive tibial bone loss. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2006; **448**: 208-216
- 23 **Gebauer D, Correll J.** Pulsed low-intensity ultrasound: a new salvage procedure for delayed unions and nonunions after leg lengthening in children. *J Pediatr Orthop* 2005; **25**: 750-754
- 24 **Kristiansen TK, Ryaby JP, McCabe J, Frey JJ, Roe LR.** Accelerated healing of distal radial fractures with the use of specific, low-intensity ultrasound. A multicenter, prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1997; **79**: 961-973
- 25 **Heckman JD, Ryaby JP, McCabe J, Frey JJ, Kilcoyne RF.** Acceleration of tibial fracture-healing by non-invasive, low-intensity pulsed ultrasound. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1994; **76**: 26-34
- 26 **El-Mowafi H, Mohsen M.** The effect of low-intensity pulsed ultrasound on callus maturation in tibial distraction osteogenesis. *Int Orthop* 2005; **29**: 121-124
- 27 **Mollon B, da Silva V, Busse JW, Einhorn TA, Bhandari M.** Electrical stimulation for long-bone fracture-healing: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2008; **90**: 2322-2330
- 28 **Eyres KS, Saleh M, Kanis JA.** Effect of pulsed electromagnetic fields on bone formation and bone loss during limb lengthening. *Bone* 1996; **18**: 505-509
- 29 **Burkhart KJ, Rommens PM.** Intramedullary application of bone morphogenetic protein in the management of a major bone defect after an Ilizarov procedure. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2008; **90**: 806-809
- 30 **Kawasumi M, Kitoh H, Siwicki KA, Ishiguro N.** The effect of the platelet concentration in platelet-rich plasma gel on the regeneration of bone. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2008; **90**: 966-972
- 31 **Kitoh H, Kitakoji T, Tsuchiya H, Katoh M, Ishiguro N.** Distraction osteogenesis of the lower extremity in patients with achondroplasia/hypochondroplasia treated with transplantation of culture-expanded bone marrow cells and platelet-rich plasma. *J Pediatr Orthop* 2007; **27**: 629-634
- 32 **Kiely P, Ward K, Bellemore C M, Briody J, Cowell CT, Little DG.** Bisphosphonate rescue in distraction osteogenesis: a case series. *J Pediatr Orthop* 2007; **27**: 467-471
- 33 **Kokoroghiannis C, Papaioannou N, Lyriris G, Katsiri M, Kalogera P.** Calcitonin administration in a rabbit distraction osteogenesis model. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2003; (415): 286-292
- 34 **Seebach C, Skripitz R, Andreassen TT, Aspenberg P.** Intermittent parathyroid hormone (1-34) enhances mechanical strength and density of new bone after distraction osteogenesis in rats. *J Orthop Res* 2004; **22**: 472-478
- 35 **Yamane K, Okano T, Kishimoto H, Hagino H.** Effect of ED-71 on modeling of bone in distraction osteogenesis. *Bone* 1999; **24**: 187-193
- 36 **Eralp L, Ozkan K, Kocaoglu M, Aktas S, Zihni M, Türker M, Ozkan FU.** Effects of hyperbaric oxygen therapy on distraction osteogenesis. *Adv Ther* 2007; **24**: 326-332
- 37 **Dhar SA, Mir MR, Ahmed MS, Afzal S, Butt MF, Badoo**

- AR, Dar IT, Hussain A. Acute peg in hole docking in the management of infected non-union of long bones. *Int Orthop* 2008; **32**: 559-566
- 38 **Griffith MH**, Gardner MJ, Blyakher A, Widmann RF. Traumatic segmental bone loss in a pediatric patient treated with bifocal bone transport. *J Orthop Trauma* 2007; **21**: 347-351
- 39 **Oh CW**, Song HR, Roh JY, Oh JK, Min WK, Kyung HS, Kim JW, Kim PT, Ihn JC. Bone transport over an intramedullary nail for reconstruction of long bone defects in tibia. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg* 2008; **128**: 801-808
- 40 **Gold SM**, Wasserman R. Preliminary results of tibial bone transports with pulsed low intensity ultrasound (Exogen). *J Orthop Trauma* 2005; **19**: 10-16
- 41 **Giotakis N**, Narayan B, Nayagam S. Distraction osteogenesis and nonunion of the docking site: is there an ideal treatment option? *Injury* 2007; **38** Suppl 1: S100-S107

S- Editor Yang XC **L- Editor** Hughes D **E- Editor** Zheng XM



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Acknowledgments to reviewers of *World Journal of Orthopedics*

Many reviewers have contributed their expertise and time to the peer review, a critical process to ensure the quality of *World Journal of Orthopedics*. The editors and authors of the articles submitted to the journal are grateful to the following reviewers for evaluating the articles (including those published in this issue and those rejected for this issue) during the last editing time period.

Ding Changhai, Associate Professor, University of Tasmania, 17 Liverpool Rd, Hobart Tasmania, Hobart 7000, Australia

Ashraf Gorgey, Dr., Hunter Holmes McGuire VA Medical Center, 1201 Broad Rock Blvd, Richmond 23112, United States

Mohamed Kenawey, Dr., Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Sohag Faculty of Medicine, Sohag 82524, Egypt

Richard Kremer, Dr., McGill University Health Center, 687 Pine Ave West Rm H.467, Montreal H3A1A1, Canada

Janaka Lenora, Dr., Department of Physiology, University of Ruhuna, Galle 8000, Sri Lanka

Bart C H van der Wal, MD, PhD, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Traumatology, Meander Medical Center, Ringweg Randenbroek 110, Amersfoort, 3816 CP, Netherlands

Hisataka Yasuda, Dr., Oriental Yeast Co., Ltd. Bioindustry division, 3-6-10 Azusawa, Itabashi-ku, Tokyo 174-8505, Japan

Charalampos Zalavras, Dr., Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Southern California, 1200 N State St, GNH 3900, Los Angeles, CA 90033, United States



Events Calendar 2011

January 16-20, 2011
 Combined 4th International
 Conference of the Saudi Orthopaedic
 Association & SICOT Trainee Day,
 Abha, Saudi Arabia

January 24-27, 2011
 7th Middle East Orthopaedics
 Conference 2011, Dubai International
 Convention Centre, Dubai,
 Saudi Arabia

January 28-30, 2011
 National Orthopedic Conference
 2011, San Francisco, California,
 United States

February 15-19, 2011
 American Academy of Orthopaedic
 Surgeons, San Diego, CA,
 United States

February 16-20, 2011
 2011 Annual Meeting of the American
 Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons,
 San Diego, CA, United States

February 19, 2011
 Pediatric Orthopaedic Society of
 North America Specialty Day, San
 Diego, CA, United States

March 09-11, 2011
 Annual London Imperial Spine
 Course, London, United Kingdom

March 21-25, 2011
 31st Caribbean Orthopaedic
 Meeting, Anse Marcel, Saint Martin

March 28-April 02, 2011
 The Association of Children's
 Prosthetic-Orthotic Clinics 2011
 Annual Meeting, Park City, UT,
 United States

April 01-04, 2011
 Ain Shams 2nd Orthopaedic
 intensive course (Orthopaedics from
 A to Z), Cairo, Egypt

April 20-22, 2011
 IMUKA 2011: Masterclass in
 Arthroscopy and Related Surgery,
 Maastricht, Netherlands

May 11-14, 2011
 2011 POSNA Annual Meeting,
 Montreal, Quebec, Canada

May 12-15, 2011
 84th Annual Meeting of the
 Japanese Orthopaedic Association,
 Yokohama, Japan

May 15-19, 2011
 8th Biennial ISAKOS Congress
 (International Society of
 Arthroscopy, Knee Surgery and
 Orthopaedic Sports Medicine), Rio
 de Janeiro, Brazil

May 25-28, 2011
 16th Pan Arab Orthopedic
 Association Congress & 27th
 SOTCOT Congress, Tunis, Tunisia

June 01-04, 2011
 12th EFORT Congress in cooperation
 with the Danish Orthopaedic
 Association (European Federation

of National Associations of
 Orthopaedics and Traumatology),
 Copenhagen, Denmark

June 08-12, 2011
 2011 ABJS Annual Meeting
 (Association of Bone and Joint
 Surgeons), Dublin, Ireland

June 15-18, 2011
 11th Annual Meeting of the
 International Society for Computer
 Assisted Orthopaedic Surgery,
 London, United Kingdom

July 07-09, 2011
 66th Annual Meeting of the
 Canadian Orthopaedic Association,
 St. John's, Newfoundland and
 Labrador, Canada

July 13-16, 2011
 18th International Meeting on
 Advanced Spine Techniques,
 Copenhagen, Denmark

July 22-24, 2011
 Sri Sathya Sai International
 Orthopaedic Conference- 2011
 On Pelvis And Lower Extremity
 Trauma", Sri Sathya Sai Institute
 of Higher Medical Sciences,
 Prasanthigram, Puttaparthi, Andhra
 Pradesh, India

July 25-28, 2011
 2011 Update in Orthopaedics, Grand
 Wailea Hotel Resort & Spa, Wailea,
 Maui, Hawaii, United States

September 06-09, 2011

SICOT 2011 XXV Triennial World
 Congress, Prague, Czech Republic

September 13-16, 2011
 BOA/IOA Combined
 Meeting (British Orthopaedic
 Association & Irish Orthopaedic
 Association), Dublin, Ireland

September 14-17, 2011
 23rd SECEC-ESSSE Congress
 (European Society for Surgery of
 the Shoulder and the Elbow), Lyon,
 France

September 14-17, 2011
 46th SRS Annual Meeting &
 Course (Scoliosis Research Society),
 Louisville, Kentucky, United States

September 15-18, 2011
 2011 World Congress on
 Osteoarthritis, San Diego, California
 92167, United States

September 21-23, 2011
 HIP IMPROVEMENTS AND
 PROCEEDINGS, Toulouse, France

October 25-28, 2011
 DKOU 2011-Deutscher Kongress
 für Orthopädie und Unfallchirurgie,
 Berlin, Germany

November 7-11, 2011
 86ème Réunion Annuelle SOFCOT,
 Paris, France

December 12-15, 2011
 EOA 63rd Annual International
 Conference, Cairo, Egypt



INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

GENERAL INFORMATION

World Journal of Orthopedics (*World J Orthop*, *WJO*, online ISSN 2218-5836, DOI: 10.5312) is a monthly peer-reviewed, online, open-access (OA), journal supported by an editorial board consisting of 245 experts in orthopedics from 30 countries.

The biggest advantage of the OA model is that it provides free, full-text articles in PDF and other formats for experts and the public without registration, which eliminates the obstacle that traditional journals possess and usually delays the speed of the propagation and communication of scientific research results. The open access model has been proven to be a true approach that may achieve the ultimate goal of the journals, i.e. the maximization of the value to the readers, authors and society.

Maximization of personal benefits

The role of academic journals is to exhibit the scientific levels of a country, a university, a center, a department, and even a scientist, and build an important bridge for communication between scientists and the public. As we all know, the significance of the publication of scientific articles lies not only in disseminating and communicating innovative scientific achievements and academic views, as well as promoting the application of scientific achievements, but also in formally recognizing the "priority" and "copyright" of innovative achievements published, as well as evaluating research performance and academic levels. So, to realize these desired attributes of *WJO* and create a well-recognized journal, the following four types of personal benefits should be maximized. The maximization of personal benefits refers to the pursuit of the maximum personal benefits in a well-considered optimal manner without violation of the laws, ethical rules and the benefits of others. (1) Maximization of the benefits of editorial board members: The primary task of editorial board members is to give a peer review of an unpublished scientific article via online office system to evaluate its innovativeness, scientific and practical values and determine whether it should be published or not. During peer review, editorial board members can also obtain cutting-edge information in that field at first hand. As leaders in their field, they have priority to be invited to write articles and publish commentary articles. We will put peer reviewers' names and affiliations along with the article they reviewed in the journal to acknowledge their contribution; (2) Maximization of the benefits of authors: Since *WJO* is an open-access journal, readers around the world can immediately download and read, free of charge, high-quality, peer-reviewed articles from *WJO* official website, thereby realizing the goals and significance of the communication between authors and peers as well as public reading; (3) Maximization of the benefits of readers: Readers can read or use, free of charge, high-quality peer-reviewed articles without any limits, and cite the arguments, viewpoints, concepts, theories, methods, results, conclusion or facts and data of pertinent literature so as to validate the innovativeness, scientific and practical values of their own research achievements, thus ensuring that their articles have novel arguments or viewpoints, solid evidence and correct conclusion; and (4) Maximization of the benefits of employees: It is an iron law that a first-class journal is unable to exist without first-class editors, and only first-class editors can create a first-class academic journal. We insist on strengthening our team cultivation and construction so that every employee, in an open, fair and transparent environment, could contribute their wisdom to edit and publish high-quality articles, thereby realizing the maximization of the personal benefits

of editorial board members, authors and readers, and yielding the greatest social and economic benefits.

Aims and scope

The aim of *WJO* is to report rapidly new theories, methods and techniques for prevention, diagnosis, treatment, rehabilitation and nursing in the field of orthopedics. *WJO* covers diagnostic imaging, arthroscopy, evidence-based medicine, epidemiology, nursing, sports medicine, therapy of bone and spinal diseases, bone trauma, osteoarthritis, bone tumors and osteoporosis, minimally invasive therapy, traditional medicine, and integrated Chinese and Western medicine. The journal also publishes original articles and reviews that report the results of applied and basic research in fields related to orthopedics, such as immunology, physiopathology, cell biology, pharmacology, medical genetics, and pharmacology of Chinese herbs.

Columns

The columns in the issues of *WJO* will include: (1) Editorial: To introduce and comment on major advances and developments in the field; (2) Frontier: To review representative achievements, comment on the state of current research, and propose directions for future research; (3) Topic Highlight: This column consists of three formats, including (A) 10 invited review articles on a hot topic, (B) a commentary on common issues of this hot topic, and (C) a commentary on the 10 individual articles; (4) Observation: To update the development of old and new questions, highlight unsolved problems, and provide strategies on how to solve the questions; (5) Guidelines for Basic Research: To provide Guidelines for basic research; (6) Guidelines for Clinical Practice: To provide guidelines for clinical diagnosis and treatment; (7) Review: To review systematically progress and unresolved problems in the field, comment on the state of current research, and make suggestions for future work; (8) Original Articles: To report innovative and original findings in orthopedics; (9) Brief Articles: To briefly report the novel and innovative findings in orthopedics; (10) Case Report: To report a rare or typical case; (11) Letters to the Editor: To discuss and make reply to the contributions published in *WJO*, or to introduce and comment on a controversial issue of general interest; (12) Book Reviews: To introduce and comment on quality monographs of orthopedics; and (13) Guidelines: To introduce consensus and guidelines reached by international and national academic authorities worldwide on the research orthopedics.

Name of journal

World Journal of Orthopedics

ISSN

ISSN 2218-5836 (online)

Published by

Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

SPECIAL STATEMENT

All articles published in this journal represent the viewpoints of the authors except where indicated otherwise.

Biostatistical editing

Statistical review is performed after peer review. We invite an expert

Instructions to authors

in Biomedical Statistics from to evaluate the statistical method used in the paper, including *t*-test (group or paired comparisons), chi-squared test, Redit, probit, logit, regression (linear, curvilinear, or stepwise), correlation, analysis of variance, analysis of covariance, *etc.* The reviewing points include: (1) Statistical methods should be described when they are used to verify the results; (2) Whether the statistical techniques are suitable or correct; (3) Only homogeneous data can be averaged. Standard deviations are preferred to standard errors. Give the number of observations and subjects (*n*). Losses in observations, such as drop-outs from the study should be reported; (4) Values such as ED50, LD50, IC50 should have their 95% confidence limits calculated and compared by weighted probit analysis (Bliss and Finney); and (5) The word 'significantly' should be replaced by its synonyms (if it indicates extent) or the *P* value (if it indicates statistical significance).

Conflict-of-interest statement

In the interests of transparency and to help reviewers assess any potential bias, *WJO* requires authors of all papers to declare any competing commercial, personal, political, intellectual, or religious interests in relation to the submitted work. Referees are also asked to indicate any potential conflict they might have reviewing a particular paper. Before submitting, authors are suggested to read "Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals: Ethical Considerations in the Conduct and Reporting of Research: Conflicts of Interest" from International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE), which is available at: http://www.icmje.org/ethical_4conflicts.html.

Sample wording: [Name of individual] has received fees for serving as a speaker, a consultant and an advisory board member for [names of organizations], and has received research funding from [names of organization]. [Name of individual] is an employee of [name of organization]. [Name of individual] owns stocks and shares in [name of organization]. [Name of individual] owns patent [patent identification and brief description].

Statement of informed consent

Manuscripts should contain a statement to the effect that all human studies have been reviewed by the appropriate ethics committee or it should be stated clearly in the text that all persons gave their informed consent prior to their inclusion in the study. Details that might disclose the identity of the subjects under study should be omitted. Authors should also draw attention to the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki, 1964, as revised in 2004).

Statement of human and animal rights

When reporting the results from experiments, authors should follow the highest standards and the trial should conform to Good Clinical Practice (for example, US Food and Drug Administration Good Clinical Practice in FDA-Regulated Clinical Trials; UK Medicines Research Council Guidelines for Good Clinical Practice in Clinical Trials) and/or the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki. Generally, we suggest authors follow the lead investigator's national standard. If doubt exists whether the research was conducted in accordance with the above standards, the authors must explain the rationale for their approach and demonstrate that the institutional review body explicitly approved the doubtful aspects of the study.

Before submitting, authors should make their study approved by the relevant research ethics committee or institutional review board. If human participants were involved, manuscripts must be accompanied by a statement that the experiments were undertaken with the understanding and appropriate informed consent of each. Any personal item or information will not be published without explicit consents from the involved patients. If experimental animals were used, the materials and methods (experimental procedures) section must clearly indicate that appropriate measures were taken to minimize pain or discomfort, and details of animal care should be provided.

SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPTS

Manuscripts should be typed in 1.5 line spacing and 12 pt. Book Antiqua with ample margins. Number all pages consecutively, and start each of the following sections on a new page: Title Page, Abstract, Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results, Discussion, Acknowledgements, References, Tables, Figures, and Figure Legends. Neither the editors nor the publisher are responsible for the opinions expressed by contributors. Manuscripts formally accepted for publication become the permanent property of Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited, and may not be reproduced by any means, in whole or in part, without the written permission of both the authors and the publisher. We reserve the right to copy-edit and put onto our website accepted manuscripts. Authors should follow the relevant guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals of their institution or national animal welfare committee. For the sake of transparency in regard to the performance and reporting of clinical trials, we endorse the policy of the ICMJE to refuse to publish papers on clinical trial results if the trial was not recorded in a publicly-accessible registry at its outset. The only register now available, to our knowledge, is <http://www.clinicaltrials.gov> sponsored by the United States National Library of Medicine and we encourage all potential contributors to register with it. However, in the case that other registers become available you will be duly notified. A letter of recommendation from each author's organization should be provided with the contributed article to ensure the privacy and secrecy of research is protected.

Authors should retain one copy of the text, tables, photographs and illustrations because rejected manuscripts will not be returned to the author(s) and the editors will not be responsible for loss or damage to photographs and illustrations sustained during mailing.

Online submissions

Manuscripts should be submitted through the Online Submission System at: <http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836office>. Authors are highly recommended to consult the ONLINE INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS (http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/g_info_20100722172650.htm) before attempting to submit online. For assistance, authors encountering problems with the Online Submission System may send an email describing the problem to wjo@wjgnet.com, or by telephone: +86-10-85381892. If you submit your manuscript online, do not make a postal contribution. Repeated online submission for the same manuscript is strictly prohibited.

MANUSCRIPT PREPARATION

All contributions should be written in English. All articles must be submitted using word-processing software. All submissions must be typed in 1.5 line spacing and 12 pt. Book Antiqua with ample margins. Style should conform to our house format. Required information for each of the manuscript sections is as follows:

Title page

Title: Title should be less than 12 words.

Running title: A short running title of less than 6 words should be provided.

Authorship: Authorship credit should be in accordance with the standard proposed by International Committee of Medical Journal Editors, based on (1) substantial contributions to conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; (2) drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content; and (3) final approval of the version to be published. Authors should meet conditions 1, 2, and 3.

Institution: Author names should be given first, then the complete name of institution, city, province and postcode. For example, Xu-Chen Zhang, Li-Xin Mei, Department of Pathology,

Chengde Medical College, Chengde 067000, Hebei Province, China. One author may be represented from two institutions, for example, George Sgourakis, Department of General, Visceral, and Transplantation Surgery, Essen 45122, Germany; George Sgourakis, 2nd Surgical Department, Korgialenio-Benakio Red Cross Hospital, Athens 15451, Greece

Author contributions: The format of this section should be: Author contributions: Wang CL and Liang L contributed equally to this work; Wang CL, Liang L, Fu JF, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM designed the research; Wang CL, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM performed the research; Xue JZ and Lu JR contributed new reagents/analytic tools; Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF analyzed the data; and Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF wrote the paper.

Supportive foundations: The complete name and number of supportive foundations should be provided, e.g. Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China, No. 30224801

Correspondence to: Only one corresponding address should be provided. Author names should be given first, then author title, affiliation, the complete name of institution, city, postcode, province, country, and email. All the letters in the email should be in lower case. A space interval should be inserted between country name and email address. For example, Montgomery Bissell, MD, Professor of Medicine, Chief, Liver Center, Gastroenterology Division, University of California, Box 0538, San Francisco, CA 94143, United States. montgomery.bissell@ucsf.edu

Telephone and fax: Telephone and fax should consist of +, country number, district number and telephone or fax number, e.g. Telephone: +86-10-59080039 Fax: +86-10-85381893

Peer reviewers: All articles received are subject to peer review. Normally, three experts are invited for each article. Decision for acceptance is made only when at least two experts recommend an article for publication. Reviewers for accepted manuscripts are acknowledged in each manuscript, and reviewers of articles which were not accepted will be acknowledged at the end of each issue. To ensure the quality of the articles published in *WJO*, reviewers of accepted manuscripts will be announced by publishing the name, title/position and institution of the reviewer in the footnote accompanying the printed article. For example, reviewers: Professor Jing-Yuan Fang, Shanghai Institute of Digestive Disease, Shanghai, Affiliated Renji Hospital, Medical Faculty, Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai, China; Professor Xin-Wei Han, Department of Radiology, The First Affiliated Hospital, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan Province, China; and Professor Anren Kuang, Department of Nuclear Medicine, Huaxi Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan Province, China.

Abstract

There are unstructured abstracts (no more than 256 words) and structured abstracts (no more than 480). The specific requirements for structured abstracts are as follows:

An informative, structured abstracts of no more than 480 words should accompany each manuscript. Abstracts for original contributions should be structured into the following sections. AIM (no more than 20 words): Only the purpose should be included. Please write the aim as the form of "To investigate/study/..."; MATERIALS AND METHODS (no more than 140 words); RESULTS (no more than 294 words): You should present *P* values where appropriate and must provide relevant data to illustrate how they were obtained, e.g. 6.92 ± 3.86 vs 3.61 ± 1.67 , $P < 0.001$; CONCLUSION (no more than 26 words).

Key words

Please list 5-10 key words, selected mainly from *Index Medicus*, which reflect the content of the study.

Text

For articles of these sections, original articles and brief articles, the main text should be structured into the following sections: INTRODUCTION, MATERIALS AND METHODS, RESULTS and DISCUSSION, and should include appropriate Figures and Tables. Data should be presented in the main text or in Figures and Tables, but not in both. The main text format of these sections, editorial, topic highlight, case report, letters to the editors, can be found at: http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/g_info_list.htm.

Illustrations

Figures should be numbered as 1, 2, 3, *etc.*, and mentioned clearly in the main text. Provide a brief title for each figure on a separate page. Detailed legends should not be provided under the figures. This part should be added into the text where the figures are applicable. Figures should be either Photoshop or Illustrator files (in tiff, eps, jpeg formats) at high-resolution. Examples can be found at: <http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/13/4520.pdf>; <http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/13/4554.pdf>; <http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/13/4891.pdf>; <http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/13/4986.pdf>; <http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/13/4498.pdf>. Keeping all elements compiled is necessary in line-art image. Scale bars should be used rather than magnification factors, with the length of the bar defined in the legend rather than on the bar itself. File names should identify the figure and panel. Avoid layering type directly over shaded or textured areas. Please use uniform legends for the same subjects. For example: Figure 1 Pathological changes in atrophic gastritis after treatment. A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...; F: ...; G: ...*etc.* It is our principle to publish high resolution-figures for the printed and E-versions.

Tables

Three-line tables should be numbered 1, 2, 3, *etc.*, and mentioned clearly in the main text. Provide a brief title for each table. Detailed legends should not be included under tables, but rather added into the text where applicable. The information should complement, but not duplicate the text. Use one horizontal line under the title, a second under column heads, and a third below the Table, above any footnotes. Vertical and italic lines should be omitted.

Notes in tables and illustrations

Data that are not statistically significant should not be noted. ^a*P* < 0.05, ^b*P* < 0.01 should be noted (*P* > 0.05 should not be noted). If there are other series of *P* values, ^c*P* < 0.05 and ^d*P* < 0.01 are used. A third series of *P* values can be expressed as ^e*P* < 0.05 and ^f*P* < 0.01. Other notes in tables or under illustrations should be expressed as ¹F, ²F, ³F; or sometimes as other symbols with a superscript (Arabic numerals) in the upper left corner. In a multi-curve illustration, each curve should be labeled with ●, ○, ■, □, ▲, △, *etc.*, in a certain sequence.

Acknowledgments

Brief acknowledgments of persons who have made genuine contributions to the manuscript and who endorse the data and conclusions should be included. Authors are responsible for obtaining written permission to use any copyrighted text and/or illustrations.

REFERENCES

Coding system

The author should number the references in Arabic numerals according to the citation order in the text. Put reference numbers in square brackets in superscript at the end of citation content or after the cited author's name. For citation content which is part of the narration, the coding number and square brackets should be typeset normally. For example, "Crohn's disease (CD) is associated with increased intestinal permeability^[1,2]". If references are cited directly in the text, they should be put together within the text, for example, "From references^[19,22-24], we know that...".

Instructions to authors

When the authors write the references, please ensure that the order in text is the same as in the references section, and also ensure the spelling accuracy of the first author's name. Do not list the same citation twice.

PMID and DOI

Pleased provide PubMed citation numbers to the reference list, e.g. PMID and DOI, which can be found at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?db=pubmed> and <http://www.crossref.org/SimpleTextQuery/>, respectively. The numbers will be used in E-version of this journal.

Style for journal references

Authors: the name of the first author should be typed in bold-faced letters. The family name of all authors should be typed with the initial letter capitalized, followed by their abbreviated first and middle initials. (For example, Lian-Sheng Ma is abbreviated as Ma LS, Bo-Rong Pan as Pan BR). The title of the cited article and italicized journal title (journal title should be in its abbreviated form as shown in PubMed), publication date, volume number (in black), start page, and end page [PMID: 11819634 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.13.5396].

Style for book references

Authors: the name of the first author should be typed in bold-faced letters. The surname of all authors should be typed with the initial letter capitalized, followed by their abbreviated middle and first initials. (For example, Lian-Sheng Ma is abbreviated as Ma LS, Bo-Rong Pan as Pan BR) Book title. Publication number. Publication place: Publication press, Year: start page and end page.

Format

Journals

English journal article (list all authors and include the PMID where applicable)

- 1 **Jung EM**, Clevert DA, Schreyer AG, Schmitt S, Rennert J, Kubale R, Feuerbach S, Jung F. Evaluation of quantitative contrast harmonic imaging to assess malignancy of liver tumors: A prospective controlled two-center study. *World J Gastroenterol* 2007; **13**: 6356-6364 [PMID: 18081224 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.13.6356]

Chinese journal article (list all authors and include the PMID where applicable)

- 2 **Lin GZ**, Wang XZ, Wang P, Lin J, Yang FD. Immunologic effect of Jianpi Yishen decoction in treatment of Pixu-diar-rhoea. *Shijie Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi* 1999; **7**: 285-287

In press

- 3 **Tian D**, Araki H, Stahl E, Bergelson J, Kreitman M. Signature of balancing selection in Arabidopsis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2006; In press

Organization as author

- 4 **Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group**. Hypertension, insulin, and proinsulin in participants with impaired glucose tolerance. *Hypertension* 2002; **40**: 679-686 [PMID: 12411462 PMCID:2516377 DOI:10.1161/01.HYP.0000035706.28494.09]

Both personal authors and an organization as author

- 5 **Vallancien G**, Emberton M, Harving N, van Moorselaar RJ; Alf-One Study Group. Sexual dysfunction in 1, 274 European men suffering from lower urinary tract symptoms. *J Urol* 2003; **169**: 2257-2261 [PMID: 12771764 DOI:10.1097/01.ju.0000067940.76090.73]

No author given

- 6 21st century heart solution may have a sting in the tail. *BMJ* 2002; **325**: 184 [PMID: 12142303 DOI:10.1136/bmj.325.7357.184]

Volume with supplement

- 7 **Geraud G**, Spierings EL, Keywood C. Tolerability and safety of frovatriptan with short- and long-term use for treatment of migraine and in comparison with sumatriptan. *Headache* 2002; **42** Suppl 2: S93-99 [PMID: 12028325 DOI:10.1046/j.1526-4610.42.s2.7.x]

Issue with no volume

- 8 **Banitt DM**, Kaufer H, Hartford JM. Intraoperative frozen section analysis in revision total joint arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2002; (**401**): 230-238 [PMID: 12151900 DOI:10.1097/00003086-200208000-00026]

No volume or issue

- 9 Outreach: Bringing HIV-positive individuals into care. *HRS-A Careaction* 2002; 1-6 [PMID: 12154804]

Books

Personal author(s)

- 10 **Sherlock S**, Dooley J. Diseases of the liver and billiary system. 9th ed. Oxford: Blackwell Sci Pub, 1993: 258-296

Chapter in a book (list all authors)

- 11 **Lam SK**. Academic investigator's perspectives of medical treatment for peptic ulcer. In: Swabb EA, Azabo S. Ulcer disease: investigation and basis for therapy. New York: Marcel Dekker, 1991: 431-450

Author(s) and editor(s)

- 12 **Breedlove GK**, Schorfheide AM. Adolescent pregnancy. 2nd ed. Wiczorek RR, editor. White Plains (NY): March of Dimes Education Services, 2001: 20-34

Conference proceedings

- 13 **Harnden P**, Joffe JK, Jones WG, editors. Germ cell tumours V. Proceedings of the 5th Germ cell tumours Conference; 2001 Sep 13-15; Leeds, UK. New York: Springer, 2002: 30-56

Conference paper

- 14 **Christensen S**, Oppacher F. An analysis of Koza's computational effort statistic for genetic programming. In: Foster JA, Lutton E, Miller J, Ryan C, Tettamanzi AG, editors. Genetic programming. EuroGP 2002: Proceedings of the 5th European Conference on Genetic Programming; 2002 Apr 3-5; Kinsdale, Ireland. Berlin: Springer, 2002: 182-191

Electronic journal (list all authors)

- 15 Morse SS. Factors in the emergence of infectious diseases. Emerg Infect Dis serial online, 1995-01-03, cited 1996-06-05; 1(1): 24 screens. Available from: URL: <http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/eid/index.htm>

Patent (list all authors)

- 16 **Pagedas AC**, inventor; Ancel Surgical R&D Inc., assignee. Flexible endoscopic grasping and cutting device and positioning tool assembly. United States patent US 20020103498. 2002 Aug 1

Statistical data

Write as mean \pm SD or mean \pm SE.

Statistical expression

Express *t* test as *t* (in italics), *F* test as *F* (in italics), chi square test as χ^2 (in Greek), related coefficient as *r* (in italics), degree of freedom as *v* (in Greek), sample number as *n* (in italics), and probability as *P* (in italics).

Units

Use SI units. For example: body mass, *m* (B) = 78 kg; blood pressure, *p* (B) = 16.2/12.3 kPa; incubation time, *t* (incubation) = 96 h, blood glucose concentration, *c* (glucose) 6.4 \pm 2.1 mmol/L; blood CEA mass concentration, *p* (CEA) = 8.6 24.5 μ g/L; CO₂ volume fraction, 50 mL/L CO₂, not 5% CO₂; likewise for 40 g/L formaldehyde, not 10% formalin; and mass fraction, 8 ng/g, etc. Arabic numerals such as 23, 243, 641 should be read 23 243 641.

The format for how to accurately write common units and quantums can be found at: http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/g_info_20100724204625.htm.

Abbreviations

Standard abbreviations should be defined in the abstract and on first mention in the text. In general, terms should not be abbreviated unless they are used repeatedly and the abbreviation is helpful to the reader. Permissible abbreviations are listed in Units, Symbols

and Abbreviations: A Guide for Biological and Medical Editors and Authors (Ed. Baron DN, 1988) published by The Royal Society of Medicine, London. Certain commonly used abbreviations, such as DNA, RNA, HIV, LD50, PCR, HBV, ECG, WBC, RBC, CT, ESR, CSF, IgG, ELISA, PBS, ATP, EDTA, mAb, can be used directly without further explanation.

Italics

Quantities: *t* time or temperature, *c* concentration, *A* area, *l* length, *m* mass, *V* volume.

Genotypes: *gyrA*, *arg 1*, *c myc*, *c fos*, etc.

Restriction enzymes: *EcoRI*, *HindII*, *BamHI*, *Kpn I*, *Kpn I*, etc.

Biology: *H. pylori*, *E. coli*, etc.

Examples for paper writing

Editorial: http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/g_info_20100723140942.htm

Frontier: http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/g_info_20100723141035.htm

Topic highlight: http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/g_info_20100723141239.htm

Observation: http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/g_info_20100723141532.htm

Guidelines for basic research: http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/g_info_20100723142040.htm

Guidelines for clinical practice: http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/g_info_20100723142248.htm

Review: http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/g_info_20100723145519.htm

Original articles: http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/g_info_20100723145856.htm

Brief articles: http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/g_info_20100723150253.htm

Case report: http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/g_info_20100723150420.htm

Letters to the editor: http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/g_info_20100723150642.htm

Book reviews: http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/g_info_20100723150839.htm

Guidelines: http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/g_info_20100723150924.htm

SUBMISSION OF THE REVISED MANUSCRIPTS AFTER ACCEPTED

Please revise your article according to the revision policies of *WJO*. The revised version including manuscript and high-resolution image figures (if any) should be copied on a floppy or compact disk. The author should send the revised manuscript, along with printed high-resolution color or black and white photos, copyright transfer letter, and responses to the reviewers by courier (such as EMS/DHL).

Editorial Office

World Journal of Orthopedics

Editorial Department: Room 903, Building D,
Ocean International Center,
No. 62 Dongsihuan Zhonglu,
Chaoyang District, Beijing 100025, China
E-mail: wjo@wjgnet.com
<http://www.wjgnet.com>
Telephone: +86-10-8538-1892
Fax: +86-10-8538-1893

Language evaluation

The language of a manuscript will be graded before it is sent for revision. (1) Grade A: priority publishing; (2) Grade B: minor language polishing; (3) Grade C: a great deal of language polishing needed; and (4) Grade D: rejected. Revised articles should reach Grade A or B.

Copyright assignment form

Please download a Copyright assignment form from http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/g_info_20100724204516.htm.

Responses to reviewers

Please revise your article according to the comments/suggestions provided by the reviewers. The format for responses to the reviewers' comments can be found at: http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/g_info_20100724204306.htm.

Proof of financial support

For paper supported by a foundation, authors should provide a copy of the document and serial number of the foundation.

Links to documents related to the manuscript

WJO will be initiating a platform to promote dynamic interactions between the editors, peer reviewers, readers and authors. After a manuscript is published online, links to the PDF version of the submitted manuscript, the peer-reviewers' report and the revised manuscript will be put on-line. Readers can make comments on the peer reviewer's report, authors' responses to peer reviewers, and the revised manuscript. We hope that authors will benefit from this feedback and be able to revise the manuscript accordingly in a timely manner.

Science news releases

Authors of accepted manuscripts are suggested to write a science news item to promote their articles. The news will be released rapidly at EurekAlert/AAAS (<http://www.eurekalert.org>). The title for news items should be less than 90 characters; the summary should be less than 75 words; and main body less than 500 words. Science news items should be lawful, ethical, and strictly based on your original content with an attractive title and interesting pictures.

Publication fee

WJO is an international, peer-reviewed, Open-Access, online journal. Articles published by this journal are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial License, which permits use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non commercial and is otherwise in compliance with the license. Authors of accepted articles must pay a publication fee. The related standards are as follows. Publication fee: 1300 USD per article. Editorial, topic highlights, original articles, book reviews and letters to the editor are published free of charge.